ER Questions

Birth Questionable

* Study ID: 6039
* Variable: length
* Resolution: None, AM suspicion is that it’s 49.9, but there is no way to confirm that at this time

Interim Heights and percentiles in Known Tab

* Inconsistency likely due to lack of branching logic
* Conferred with Sarah Evans on this and if they need to be used, use the reported values.
  + She said since these are self-report to take with a grain of salt.
* For 61451, I suggest to recode or analyze q007a as missing since using 0 would be inappropriate

Interim Heights and percentiles in Questionable Tab

* 8163 – clear error but no way to confirm “correct” height
* Recode to “2” for 4b and 6b for those participants seem reasonable to me
* 7039/9004 – weights were even present in older datasets, clearly never changed
* 6155/6221/7035 – since these might be self-reported take with a grain of salt

Age 6 – true errors

* 80981 – Age at 6 year visit is 6.44
* 61011 – Age at 6 year visit 6.05

Age 6 – Duplicate records

* Duplicated to due REDCap’s Double Data Entry process – Age 6 is still in progress and NOT clean

Age 6 – Triple measurements

* I think the general guidance is yes to set the most aberrant value to missing or not use that one in the analysis, i.e. use the two closest values *please* confer with others on the best method for analysis
* 60781 – I looked at the notes for this one and this child was apparently wiggly. I would set triskin3 to missing but *please* confer with others